

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/530,335	Applicant(s) VRIJBLOED ET AL.
	Examiner ANDREW D. KOSAR	Art Unit 1654

All Participants:

(1) ANDREW D. KOSAR.

(2) Andrea Wilkovich.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *proposed claim amendments.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all, in general

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On 6/15/10, Applicant's representative was contacted to discuss proposed claim amendments which would place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative indicated they would need to consult with Applicant prior to accepting the amendments. In the interview of 7/23/10, Applicant's representative indicated the amendments (as attached in the examiner's amendment) were acceptable and agreement was reached on the claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew D Kosar/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Status of Application: after reply to office action

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 14:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)